





Opinions Count: Increasing the Effectiveness of the EIA Scoping Process

A Case Study from the London Borough of Tower Hamlets

Peter Cole









Setting the Scene



Tight Timescale Scoping Report Prepared













5 Weeks Later

- No response?
- Generic Response bearing little relation to submitted Scoping Report?





With 2 months left until application submission, how do you respond?









Why Does This Happen?

- No EIA Officer
- EHO Workloads
- Generic Responses Infrequently Updated
- Lack of Contact from Applicant Pre-Scoping Report Submission
- Tight timescales
- Input from external consultees often generic (or even contradictory)









Tower Hamlets Approach

Pro-active approach to Opinions

EIA Guidance Document

Residing online within 'Pre-Application Advice' on Tower Hamlets Planning Website (web link)

http://www.towerhamlets.gov.uk/lgsl/451-500/485_planning_applications.aspx

Input from EIA Practitioners, Council Planners and Technical Officers and Statutory Environmental Bodies









Not compulsory, but serves as a trigger for negotiation



Allows us to highlight key issues and 'peculiarities' in suggested methodology













Scoping Opinion Template

Danger of producing another 'generic' document, however:

- Technical steer and subsequent negotiation should already have occurred
- Ensures timely response and no surprises

Template itself focuses on providing details of any deviation from guidance (agreed or not agreed) and summarising consultee comments









In Summary

- Ineffective Scoping was leading to increased cost and delay postsubmission
- Front-loaded technical guidance and encouraged communication before Scoping Report submitted
- Achieve a more tailored and timely Scoping Opinion service (hopefully!)









Questions for you....

- Can you relate to the scene I set earlier?
- What do you think of this approach?

comments welcome!

