


## Q1 Are you a member of the International Association for Impact Assessment (IAIA)?

Answered: 84 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes, I am a member of the IAIA	60.71% 51
No, I am not an IAIA member	28.57% 24
No, but I am a past IAIA member	5.95% 5
No, but I am a member of an IAIA Affiliate/other ESIA-related professional organisation:	4.76% 4
<b>Total</b>	<b>84</b>


#	Please specify your affiliate/other ESIA-related professional organisation:	Date
1	IEMA	6/28/2017 7:44 AM
2	IAAZ	6/26/2017 4:16 AM
3	Ghana	6/25/2017 2:53 PM
4	IEMA, CIWEM	6/24/2017 3:49 PM
5	Vimi Associates	6/24/2017 1:06 AM
6	EIANZ	6/23/2017 11:11 PM
7	IEMA	6/23/2017 8:07 AM
8	Association for Environmental Impact Assessment of Nigeria	6/22/2017 2:54 AM
9	Portuguese IAIA affiliate; Portuguese Professional Association of Engineers	6/21/2017 1:23 AM
10	Quality Council of India - NABET	6/14/2017 1:29 PM
11	Uganda Association of Impact Assessors (UAIA) and National Environmental Management Authority (NEMA)	6/12/2017 1:14 AM
12	EIANZ	6/11/2017 4:50 AM

## EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey

13	APAI	6/9/2017 5:52 AM
14	Nigeria Federal Ministry of Environment	6/8/2017 11:23 AM

**Q2 Are you aware of any schemes to recognise / register / accredit individuals for their skills and competence to undertake project-related ESIA?**


Answered: 84 Skipped: 1



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	47.62% 40
No	52.38% 44
<b>Total</b>	<b>84</b>

### Q3 What type of project-related ESIA regime does the competency scheme relate to?


Answered: 38 Skipped: 47



Answer Choices	Responses	
A country / group of countries (e.g. South Africa, European Union, etc)	92.11%	35
A financial institution / group of financial institutions (e.g. World Bank, Equator Principles Association, etc)	7.89%	3
<b>Total</b>		<b>38</b>

### Q4 Which country are you responding in relation to?


Answered: 33 Skipped: 52



# EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey




# EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey




# EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey




# EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey



# EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey



# EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey




# EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey



# EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey



## EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey



Answer Choices	Responses
Afghanistan	0.00% 0
Albania	0.00% 0
Algeria	0.00% 0
Andorra	0.00% 0
Angola	0.00% 0
Antigua and Barbuda	0.00% 0
Argentina	0.00% 0
Armenia	0.00% 0
Australia	9.09% 3
Austria	0.00% 0
Azerbaijan	3.03% 1
Bahamas	0.00% 0
Bahrain	0.00% 0
Bangladesh	0.00% 0

## EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey

Barbados	0.00%	0
Belarus	0.00%	0
Belgium	3.03%	1
Belize	0.00%	0
Benin	0.00%	0
Bhutan	0.00%	0
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	0.00%	0
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.00%	0
Botswana	0.00%	0
Brazil	0.00%	0
Brunei Darussalam	0.00%	0
Bulgaria	0.00%	0
Burkina Faso	0.00%	0
Burundi	0.00%	0
Cabo Verde	0.00%	0
Cambodia	0.00%	0
Cameroon	0.00%	0
Canada	0.00%	0
Central African Republic	0.00%	0
Chad	0.00%	0
Chile	0.00%	0
China	6.06%	2
Colombia	0.00%	0
Comoros	0.00%	0
Congo	0.00%	0
Costa Rica	0.00%	0
Côte D'Ivoire	0.00%	0
Croatia	0.00%	0
Cuba	0.00%	0
Cyprus	0.00%	0
Czech Republic	3.03%	1
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	0.00%	0
Democratic Republic of the Congo	0.00%	0
Denmark	0.00%	0

## EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey

Djibouti	0.00%	0
Dominica	0.00%	0
Dominican Republic	0.00%	0
Ecuador	0.00%	0
Egypt	0.00%	0
El Salvador	0.00%	0
Equatorial Guinea	0.00%	0
Eritrea	0.00%	0
Estonia	3.03%	1
Ethiopia	0.00%	0
Fiji	0.00%	0
Finland	0.00%	0
France	0.00%	0
Gabon	0.00%	0
Gambia	0.00%	0
Georgia	0.00%	0
Germany	0.00%	0
Ghana	0.00%	0
Greece	0.00%	0
Grenada	0.00%	0
Guatemala	0.00%	0
Guinea	0.00%	0
Guinea Bissau	0.00%	0
Guyana	0.00%	0
Haiti	0.00%	0
Holy See	0.00%	0
Honduras	0.00%	0
Hungary	0.00%	0
Iceland	0.00%	0
India	12.12%	4
Indonesia	0.00%	0
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	0.00%	0
Iraq	0.00%	0
Ireland	0.00%	0

## EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey

Israel	0.00%	0
Italy	0.00%	0
Jamaica	0.00%	0
Japan	3.03%	1
Jordan	0.00%	0
Kazakhstan	0.00%	0
Kenya	3.03%	1
Kiribati	0.00%	0
Kuwait	0.00%	0
Kyrgyzstan	0.00%	0
Lao People's Democratic Republic	0.00%	0
Latvia	0.00%	0
Lebanon	0.00%	0
Lesotho	0.00%	0
Liberia	0.00%	0
Libya	0.00%	0
Liechtenstein	0.00%	0
Lithuania	0.00%	0
Luxembourg	0.00%	0
Madagascar	0.00%	0
Malawi	0.00%	0
Malaysia	0.00%	0
Maldives	0.00%	0
Mali	0.00%	0
Malta	0.00%	0
Marshall Islands	0.00%	0
Mauritania	0.00%	0
Mauritius	0.00%	0
Mexico	6.06%	2
Micronesia (Federated States of)	0.00%	0
Monaco	0.00%	0
Mongolia	3.03%	1
Montenegro	0.00%	0
Morocco	0.00%	0
Mozambique	0.00%	0

## EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey

Myanmar	0.00%	0
Namibia	0.00%	0
Nauru	0.00%	0
Nepal	0.00%	0
Netherlands	0.00%	0
New Zealand	0.00%	0
Nicaragua	0.00%	0
Niger	0.00%	0
Nigeria	6.06%	2
Norway	0.00%	0
Oman	0.00%	0
Pakistan	0.00%	0
Palau	0.00%	0
Panama	0.00%	0
Papua New Guinea	0.00%	0
Paraguay	0.00%	0
Peru	0.00%	0
Philippines	9.09%	3
Poland	0.00%	0
Portugal	0.00%	0
Qatar	0.00%	0
Republic of Korea	0.00%	0
Republic of Moldova	0.00%	0
Romania	0.00%	0
Russian Federation	0.00%	0
Rwanda	0.00%	0
Saint Kitts and Nevis	0.00%	0
Saint Lucia	0.00%	0
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0.00%	0
Samoa	0.00%	0
San Marino	0.00%	0
Sao Tome and Principe	0.00%	0
Saudi Arabia	0.00%	0
Senegal	0.00%	0
Serbia	0.00%	0

## EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey


Seychelles	0.00%	0
Sierra Leone	0.00%	0
Singapore	0.00%	0
Slovakia	0.00%	0
Slovenia	0.00%	0
Solomon Islands	0.00%	0
Somalia	0.00%	0
South Africa	15.15%	5
South Sudan	0.00%	0
Spain	0.00%	0
Sri Lanka	0.00%	0
State of Palestine	0.00%	0
Sudan	0.00%	0
Suriname	0.00%	0
Swaziland	0.00%	0
Sweden	0.00%	0
Switzerland	0.00%	0
Syrian Arab Republic	0.00%	0
Tajikistan	0.00%	0
Thailand	0.00%	0
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	0.00%	0
Timor-Leste	0.00%	0
Togo	0.00%	0
Tonga	0.00%	0
Trinidad and Tobago	0.00%	0
Tunisia	0.00%	0
Turkey	0.00%	0
Turkmenistan	0.00%	0
Tuvalu	0.00%	0
Uganda	3.03%	1
Ukraine	0.00%	0
United Arab Emirates	0.00%	0
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	9.09%	3
United Republic of Tanzania	0.00%	0
United States of America	0.00%	0

## EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey

Uruguay	0.00%	0
Uzbekistan	0.00%	0
Vanuatu	0.00%	0
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	0.00%	0
Vietnam	0.00%	0
Yemen	0.00%	0
Zambia	3.03%	1
Zimbabwe	0.00%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>33</b>

### Q5 Does this country have a system for recognising the professional competence of those involved in the project-related ESIA process?


Answered: 33 Skipped: 52



Answer Choices	Responses	
Yes, it is required by law	48.48%	16
Yes, but it is a voluntary scheme	39.39%	13
No	12.12%	4
<b>Total</b>		<b>33</b>

### Q6 Who runs / administers the scheme?

Answered: 27 Skipped: 58




Answer Choices	Responses
Don't know	7.41% 2
Central government body	40.74% 11
Regional / local government bodies	3.70% 1
Affiliated government agency	11.11% 3
An independent professional body	33.33% 9
Other (please specify)	3.70% 1
<b>Total</b>	<b>27</b>

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	IEMA (not sure if IEMA is a professional body in the same way that Institution of Civil Engineers is	6/14/2017 12:54 PM

### Q7 Which type of Financial Institution are you responding in relation to?

Answered: 3 Skipped: 82




Answer Choices	Responses
Multi-lateral development bank	0.00% 0
International financial institution	66.67% 2
Export credit agency	0.00% 0
United Nations body	0.00% 0
International development body (e.g. DFID, USAID, etc)	33.33% 1
Other (please specify)	0.00% 0
<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>

#	Other (please specify)	Date
	There are no responses.	

### Q8 Does the institution have a professional recognition system for project-related ESIA practitioners?


Answered: 3 Skipped: 82



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes, it is mandatory within institutional policies	66.67% 2
Yes, but it is an informal / voluntary process	0.00% 0
No	33.33% 1
<b>Total</b>	<b>3</b>

### Q9 Who runs / administers the scheme?

Answered: 2 Skipped: 83




Answer Choices	Responses
Don't know	0.00% 0
Run internally by the institution	100.00% 2
An independent organisation	0.00% 0
Other (please specify)	0.00% 0
<b>Total</b>	<b>2</b>

#	Other (please specify)	Date
	There are no responses.	

**Q10 Which ESIA-related roles are recognised within the scheme? (Select all that apply)**


Answered: 24 Skipped: 61



Answer Choices	Responses
ESIA Co-ordinator / Manager / Author	79.17% 19
ESIA Reviewer / Administrator	45.83% 11
Environment / Social Topic Specialist	70.83% 17
<b>Total Respondents: 24</b>	

### Q11 Who is eligible to apply for professional recognition under the scheme you are describing? (Select all that apply.)

Answered: 24 Skipped: 61




Answer Choices	Responses
Don't know	0.00% 0
Those working for the Government / Institution	70.83% 17
Those working for the proponent / developer	70.83% 17
Environmental Topic Specialists (e.g. Health, Ecology, Air, etc)	83.33% 20
Academics	62.50% 15
Other (please specify)	29.17% 7
<b>Total Respondents: 24</b>	

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	People have college degree	7/2/2017 8:16 PM
2	Anyone that shall lead an EIA/SEA	6/27/2017 7:06 AM
3	EIA Practitioners and Consulting Firms	6/22/2017 3:01 AM
4	Environmental practitioners	6/21/2017 10:14 PM
5	Any organisation desirous to undertake EIA (Pvt or government project) must have accreditation.	6/21/2017 12:44 AM
6	EIA consulting firms	6/14/2017 1:34 PM
7	anyone having academic degree and 5 years of experience in env. protection	6/9/2017 9:11 AM

### Q12 How many levels of professional recognition exist within the scheme you are describing?

Answered: 24 Skipped: 61




Answer Choices	Responses
Don't know	4.17% 1
Single Level	50.00% 12
Multiple (e.g. Graduate >Practitioner > Senior > Principal)	45.83% 11
<b>Total</b>	<b>24</b>

#	If multiple, please specify how many levels and their grading:	Date
1	3 levels, following Government levels of seniority (A2L, A2 and A1)	6/25/2017 11:20 AM
2	Certified Environmental Practitioner - general, then can apply for "IA Specialist" category	6/23/2017 11:15 PM
3	EIA coordinator, Subject specialists, beginners.	6/21/2017 12:44 AM
4	A and b category	6/20/2017 11:58 PM
5	Two. Candidate and Full	6/15/2017 7:05 AM
6	Its just a registration scheme	6/13/2017 9:11 AM
7	6	6/11/2017 6:52 AM
8	Two levels. The accreditation was set up by the EIANZ and is called the Certified Environmental Practioner scheme. There are two levels: General Certification (min 5 years) and Specialist Certification (min 10 years). The specialist certification is split into different areas including: Impact Assessment, Ecology, Contaminated Land, Climate Change.	6/11/2017 4:59 AM

**Q13 Which of the following are specific factors that an individual must demonstrate to gain registration to the scheme? (Tick all that apply)**

Answered: 24 Skipped: 61



Answer Choices	Responses
Don't know	4.17% 1
Pay a fee	45.83% 11
Years of experience in ESIA	87.50% 21
Academic qualification / university degree	83.33% 20
Pre-qualifying examination (specific to impact assessment)	29.17% 7
EIA knowledge (e.g. process, topics, etc)	87.50% 21
EIA skills (e.g. project management, communication, analytical thinking, etc)	83.33% 20


## EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey

EIA behaviours (e.g. honesty, integrity, etc)	<b>62.50%</b>	15
Sector-specific experience	<b>41.67%</b>	10
Experience of other impact assessment systems (Other national systems, SEA, HIA, etc)	<b>20.83%</b>	5
Other (please specify)	<b>16.67%</b>	4
<b>Total Respondents: 24</b>		

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	attendance to CCA and DRRM training	6/28/2017 12:56 AM
2	academic qualification or practical application judged at equivalent academic level	6/25/2017 11:20 AM
3	Interviews are held and each individual is assessed.	6/21/2017 12:44 AM
4	There is a registration form requiring information on specialization and number of EIA reports prepared but it was not explained how they account or evaluate.	6/13/2017 9:11 AM

### Q14 What must be submitted by the individual as part of the application process? (Tick all that apply)

Answered: 24 Skipped: 61



Answer Choices	Responses
Don't know	4.17% 1
Application form	95.83% 23
Payment of a fee	66.67% 16
Proof of identity	33.33% 8
CV	83.33% 20
Written statement of ESIA experience	66.67% 16

## EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey

Copies of academic qualifications	<b>62.50%</b>	15
Copies of other relevant qualifications	<b>50.00%</b>	12
Copies of sections of EIS produced by the applicant	<b>33.33%</b>	8
Written references	<b>25.00%</b>	6
Verification of the application by other ESIA professionals	<b>37.50%</b>	9
Other (please specify)	<b>20.83%</b>	5
<b>Total Respondents: 24</b>		

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	demonstration of skills and competencies against a set framework	6/25/2017 11:20 AM
2	Attendance to CCA DRRM training conducted by the central government agency	6/21/2017 10:14 PM
3	written references, reports and field trip records are checked during personnel interviews.	6/21/2017 12:44 AM
4	Attendance to the orientation on the philippine eia system and climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction	6/13/2017 9:11 AM
5	no crimilar record	6/9/2017 9:11 AM

**Q15 Please use the space below to briefly explain details of the recognition scheme, including reference to any specific legislation / institutional policy behind the scheme, and information about the organisation that administers the scheme:**

Answered: 21 Skipped: 64

#	Responses	Date
1	For clarification, the program in Hong Kong is very different from the one in Mainland China. This is a newly established qualification program by the Hong Kong Institute of Qualified Environmental Professionals Limited. It is a voluntary program at this moment, but planned to push for legislative recognition in the future	7/7/2017 10:56 AM
2	The EIA qualification system is written in the Law of EIA in China, and EIA engineers are registered in the Ministry of Environmental protection	7/2/2017 8:16 PM
3	To enhance transparency and increase accountability of Environmental Impact Assessment practitioners, the Environmental Management Bureau (EMB of the Department of Environment and Natural Resources through the Environmental Impact Assessment and Management (EIAM) Division has established a registry system for EIA reviewers and preparers.	6/28/2017 12:56 AM
4	Estonia has requirements on leading experts for EIA and SEA. The legal requirements can be found in §§ 13-15 of the Environmental Impact Assessment and Environmental Management System Act.	6/27/2017 7:06 AM
5	DFID operates a set of 13 professional advisory cadres one of which is for Climate and Environment, each led by a Head of profession who governs accreditation and related CPD	6/25/2017 11:20 AM
6	Actually there are three schemes in Belgium; this input relates to the one of the Flemish Region which is expert-specific (the others are company-specific). Legal basis is the Flemish "General Environmental Policy Decree". Recognition can be obtained for about 15 different topics ("disciplines") and subtopics + as EIA-coordinator. Used to be for a five year period but is now valid indeterminately, subject to training requirements and quality control.	6/24/2017 4:43 AM
7	EIANZ administers the scheme. There is no legislative requirement yet for EA preparers to be certified, but EIANZ has lobbied the government in this regard.	6/23/2017 11:15 PM
8	In order to submit an ESIA to NEMA (Gov't Authority responsible for review), the report preparer must be registered with NEMA. This same "EIA practitioner" certification is common in Africa.	6/23/2017 8:48 AM
9	The Federal Ministry of Environment under the EIA Act No. 86 of 1992 is empowered to regulate EIA practice in Nigeria	6/22/2017 3:01 AM
10	To sustain the integrity of the ESIA country system and maintain the good quality of the ESIA reports as well as the professional integrity of the ESIA technical reviewers, registration scheme has been implemented by virtue of memorandum order/circular by the government in charge in the implementation of the ESIA system in the Phil's.	6/21/2017 10:14 PM
11	National Accreditation Board for Education & Training (NABET), a constituent board of the QCI has developed a voluntary accreditation Scheme (must for any organisation working on EIA under law) with inputs from various stakeholders including experts in the field, regulatory agencies, consultants etc., and launched it in August 2007.	6/21/2017 12:44 AM
12	Professional Engineer Act	6/21/2017 12:15 AM
13	Nabet, qci india is the accreditation body	6/20/2017 11:58 PM
14	The South African registration system is in a state of flux. For several years the formal legislatively approved registration process has been in a state of limbo. Many meetings and discussions have been held but the state machinery is grinding far too slowly so the voluntary system remains.	6/15/2017 7:05 AM
15	The scheme is just a registration scheme and not an accreditation/ licensing scheme. It is implemented by the Philippines' Department of Environment and Natural Resources Environmental Management Bureau	6/13/2017 9:11 AM
16	Uganda National Environment Act, 1995 and its subsequent National EIA Guidelines 1998 and Regulations 2000.	6/12/2017 1:21 AM
17	Refer to <a href="https://www.cenvp.org/apply/cenvp-ia-specialist/">https://www.cenvp.org/apply/cenvp-ia-specialist/</a> The scheme is managed by a professional organisation (Environment Institute of Australia and New Zealand) but has its own independent board.	6/11/2017 7:27 AM

## EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey

18	<p>The ICB is the Interim Certification Board, which was established in February 2001. Its primary task will be to establish a Certification Process for the voluntary Certification of Environmental Assessment practitioners. It will eventually hand over the Certification Process and Organisational Structure to the new permanent regulatory body. At this stage Certification as an EAP with the Board is voluntary. A Code of Ethics has been established to guide the activities of certified environmental assessment practitioners. This voluntary certification system is being established to uphold standards of the environmental assessment profession and ensure quality control and therefore the Code will be binding on all certified environmental assessment practitioners. All certified environmental assessment practitioners are required to follow the International Association for Impact Assessment South African Affiliate's Code of Practice, as revised from time to time.</p>	6/11/2017 6:06 AM
19	<p>The the majority of this information is provided above. The accreditation scheme for CEnvP is not referenced in legislation.</p>	6/11/2017 4:59 AM
20	<p>It is required by law and ensures that those who pass it have basic technical knowledge of env. norms and assessment techniques. However it guarantee knowledge of EIA process - how to scope key issues, how to consult, etc. Although it is legally possible to take away the certification in case of poor performance, such case never happened despite may requests and also despite many clear examples of very poor practice and pro-development bias of quite a few assessment practitioners.</p>	6/9/2017 9:11 AM
21	<p>The Nigeria Federal Ministry of Environment administers the Registration of IA professional in Nigeria. The same Ministry approves EIA permit in the country. As a matter of policy, only registered IA Professional can practice in Nigeria but the National EIA Act did not specifically state so.</p>	6/8/2017 11:37 AM


**Q16 In relation to the scheme you have described above, please provide a weblink to the scheme (or the organisation that operates the scheme):**

Answered: 23 Skipped: 62

#	Responses	Date
1	<a href="http://hkiqep.org/">http://hkiqep.org/</a>	7/7/2017 10:56 AM
2	<a href="http://datacenter.mep.gov.cn/index!MenuAction.action?name=402880fb250ac78001250acbb7740004">http://datacenter.mep.gov.cn/index!MenuAction.action?name=402880fb250ac78001250acbb7740004</a>	7/2/2017 8:16 PM
3	<a href="http://eia.emb.gov.ph/emb-mc-2013-003-registry-system/registration-procedure/">http://eia.emb.gov.ph/emb-mc-2013-003-registry-system/registration-procedure/</a>	6/28/2017 12:56 AM
4	SACNASP	6/27/2017 1:45 PM
5	<a href="https://www.riigiteataja.ee/en/eli/ee/Riigikogu/act/504052017001/consolide">https://www.riigiteataja.ee/en/eli/ee/Riigikogu/act/504052017001/consolide</a>	6/27/2017 7:06 AM
6	<a href="http://www.dfid.gov.uk">www.dfid.gov.uk</a>	6/25/2017 11:20 AM
7	<a href="https://www.lne.be/erkenning-aanvragen-als-mer-deskundige">https://www.lne.be/erkenning-aanvragen-als-mer-deskundige</a> (in Dutch).	6/24/2017 4:43 AM
8	<a href="https://www.cenvp.org/">https://www.cenvp.org/</a>	6/23/2017 11:15 PM
9	<a href="https://www.nema.go.ke/index.php?option=com_content&amp;view=article&amp;id=158&amp;catid=8&amp;Itemid=278">https://www.nema.go.ke/index.php?option=com_content&amp;view=article&amp;id=158&amp;catid=8&amp;Itemid=278</a>	6/23/2017 8:48 AM
10	Federal Ministry of Environment in Nigeria	6/22/2017 3:01 AM
11	<a href="http://eia.emb.gov.ph">http://eia.emb.gov.ph</a>	6/21/2017 10:14 PM
12	<a href="http://nabet.qci.org.in/Environment/">http://nabet.qci.org.in/Environment/</a>	6/21/2017 12:44 AM
13	<a href="https://www.engineer.or.jp/sub09/">https://www.engineer.or.jp/sub09/</a>	6/21/2017 12:15 AM
14	Qci.org	6/20/2017 11:58 PM
15	<a href="http://eapasa.org/">http://eapasa.org/</a>	6/15/2017 7:05 AM
16	<a href="http://emb.gov.ph/eia/">http://emb.gov.ph/eia/</a>	6/13/2017 9:11 AM
17	NEMA and UAIA	6/12/2017 1:21 AM
18	<a href="https://www.cenvp.org/apply/cenvp-ia-specialist/">https://www.cenvp.org/apply/cenvp-ia-specialist/</a>	6/11/2017 7:27 AM
19	iema.org	6/11/2017 6:52 AM
20	<a href="http://www.eapsa.co.za/eapsa.html">http://www.eapsa.co.za/eapsa.html</a>	6/11/2017 6:06 AM
21	<a href="https://www.cenvp.org/">https://www.cenvp.org/</a>	6/11/2017 4:59 AM
22	<a href="https://portal.cenia.cz/eiasea/dokumenty/eia_pokyny">https://portal.cenia.cz/eiasea/dokumenty/eia_pokyny</a>	6/9/2017 9:11 AM
23	<a href="http://www.FMENV.gov.ng">www.FMENV.gov.ng</a>	6/8/2017 11:37 AM

### Q17 What requirements are placed on the individual once they become registered? (Tick all that apply.)

Answered: 21 Skipped: 64




Answer Choices	Responses
Don't know	9.52% 2
Sign a professional code of practice / ethics	47.62% 10
Produce annual evidence of continuing professional development	61.90% 13
Requirement to mentor / train younger professionals	14.29% 3
Requirement to share case studies / experience to improve practice	14.29% 3
Other (please specify)	33.33% 7
<b>Total Respondents: 21</b>	

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	produce an ESIA report of good quality and in accordance with the government regulations	6/28/2017 1:05 AM
2	participate in organised CPD and use of 10% time uunder guidance of a technical quality assurer	6/25/2017 11:25 AM
3	Review of accredited license after a number of years practice and evidence professional development	6/22/2017 3:05 AM
4	No any requirement	6/21/2017 12:21 AM
5	None	6/13/2017 9:17 AM
6	nothing. Once you get it, you have it for 5 years and then can reapply for renewal which happens without any new exam of check of quality of work.	6/9/2017 9:14 AM
7	Recognition as Registered IA professional in Nigeria	6/8/2017 11:43 AM

### Q18 How long is the ESIA registration valid?

Answered: 22 Skipped: 63




Answer Choices	Responses
Don't know	9.09% 2
Lifetime	4.55% 1
As long as an individual pays their registration fees	27.27% 6
For a fixed number of years (e.g. 3 / 5 / 10 years)	22.73% 5
As long as an individual can demonstrate they are involved in ESIA-related work	18.18% 4
Other (please specify)	18.18% 4
<b>Total</b>	<b>22</b>

#	Other (please specify)	Date
1	every 1 -1.5 years a review is conducted to re-issue the accreditation.	6/21/2017 12:50 AM
2	1.5 years	6/21/2017 12:02 AM
3	Not specified	6/13/2017 9:17 AM
4	In Uganda registration and certification is done once by NEMA but renewed every beginning of a year i.e. 28th February, renewals are paid and submitted to the agency.	6/12/2017 1:32 AM

### Q19 Can the ESIA professional recognition be withdrawn?


Answered: 22 Skipped: 63



Answer Choices	Responses
Yes	77.27% 17
No	0.00% 0
Don't know	22.73% 5
<b>Total</b>	<b>22</b>

**Q20 How far do you believe the scheme improves the quality of individuals registered to it?**


Answered: 20 Skipped: 65



	No influence on quality	(no label)	(no label)	(no label)	(no label)	Very high influence on quality	Total	Weighted Average
★	15.00% 3	10.00% 2	25.00% 5	35.00% 7	5.00% 1	10.00% 2	20	3.35

**Q21 How far do you believe the scheme improves the overall quality of ESIA in the country / financial institution?**

Answered: 20 Skipped: 65



	No influence on quality	(no label)	(no label)	(no label)	(no label)	Very high influence on quality	Total	Weighted Average
★	5.00% 1	25.00% 5	15.00% 3	30.00% 6	25.00% 5	0.00% 0	20	3.45

## EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey

### Q22 Please describe the elements of the professional recognition scheme that are good:

Answered: 16 Skipped: 69

#	Responses	Date
1	set up a threshold for EIA practioners	7/2/2017 8:22 PM
2	there will be no conflict of interest as ESIA reviewer may no longer be involved in ESIA preparation	6/28/2017 1:05 AM
3	Strong professional network, accreditation required for posts and most importantly clear connection to continued professional development and maintenance of competencies	6/25/2017 11:25 AM
4	Contributes to development of an EIA expert "community" that is regularly invited by authorities to share experiences, get to know new legislation, ... Makes sur that recognized individuals have professional background and experience.	6/24/2017 4:51 AM
5	It is the start of establishing a standard.	6/23/2017 11:18 PM
6	It is a good beginning to recognize people who have right knowledge. Scheme is system oriented and records are required for each report one has worked thus experts are more aware about their role.	6/21/2017 12:50 AM
7	Qualification to be professional engineers	6/21/2017 12:21 AM
8	Helps in weeding out one man or wife and husband organizations	6/21/2017 12:02 AM
9	Review of qualifications and of evidence of experience.	6/15/2017 7:10 AM
10	None yet since its just a registration and it does not say about your competency. It provides a list of eia prCtitioners but not much info on quality of eia reports	6/13/2017 9:17 AM
11	It would be imperative for practitioners to exhibit highest level of honesty and transparency. However, in most African practices this is inadequate, subject to improve tremendously. Nonetheless, the driver is unclear system nature in which the proponents operate in without following existing enabling environment in the country.	6/12/2017 1:32 AM
12	Relies on assessment by a panel of impact assessment specialists	6/11/2017 7:31 AM
13	Requirements. Peer reference.	6/11/2017 6:08 AM
14	EIA is complex. Individuals that lack experience and training produce poor EIA documents. The professional recognition scheme identifies those individuals who are appropriate to complete complex EIAs.	6/11/2017 5:07 AM
15	see my previous answers	6/9/2017 9:14 AM
16	Practitioners maintain high quality works to retain the recognition	6/8/2017 11:43 AM

## EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey


### Q23 Please describe the elements of the professional recognition scheme that you would like to see improved:

Answered: 16 Skipped: 69

#	Responses	Date
1	Publicity and wider recognition, more transparent about how the qualification work, and regular review of registered members	7/7/2017 10:56 AM
2	EIA practioners need not to register in a firm to practice	7/2/2017 8:22 PM
3	further improve the screening or evaluation process of the ESIA practitioners	6/28/2017 1:05 AM
4	Better integration with other disciplines	6/25/2017 11:25 AM
5	Requirements are not stringent enough and/or not enforced. Too many people are recognized. The result is an "inflation" of the meaning of the word "expert" and consequently a loss of "value" for the accreditation. Hence not enough respect and credibility and fees that are too low ...	6/24/2017 4:51 AM
6	The scheme is relatively young, and few people have the IA specialist certification, so it's not really influencing practice yet. Also, I know at least one individual who has the certification who I would not consider a competent or ethical practitioner.	6/23/2017 11:18 PM
7	There are still lots of lacunae and assessors who are been sent to assess candidates need to be trained for conducting such interviews as 2 assessors cannot judge so many different experts (air, water, social, hydro geology, modelling and sectors starting form highways to hydro power, thermal power, ports, oil and gas etc.).	6/21/2017 12:50 AM
8	Shortening the selection period from the present two years to one year. We have to pass two time examination.	6/21/2017 12:21 AM
9	Scheme was experimental and dynamic Qci has low knowledge of Eia They are making it procedure oriented without any prescribed procedures	6/21/2017 12:02 AM
10	Less emphasis on matters unrelated to professional competence. e.g. ethnicity and gender.	6/15/2017 7:10 AM
11	Clear and defined Qualifications CContinued Trainings for registered professionals	6/13/2017 9:17 AM
12	Provide standards of operation procedures of which all (EIA practitioners) ought to comply and checked regularly. Use of contemporary approaches in the practices is a need in the developing nations. Consideration of the mentored young scientists (new practitioners), provision of the platform to share skills and knowledge e.g. by IAIA among other partners.	6/12/2017 1:32 AM
13	Currently no strong driver for certification as governments do not require impact assessment documents to be submitted by a certified practitioner.	6/11/2017 7:31 AM
14	Be promulgated as a legal requirements.	6/11/2017 6:08 AM
15	CEnvP is a great scheme but it need Government support. At present there is no Government requirement for the CEnvP with Impact Assessment specialism. As such not many people apply. However recently the NSW EPA have required that only accredited consultants can sign off reports sent to them. As such the CEnvP Contaminated Land specialism is gaining traction. <a href="http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/clm/selectaclmcons.htm">http://www.epa.nsw.gov.au/clm/selectaclmcons.htm</a>	6/11/2017 5:07 AM
16	It should be replace by exams based on work performed.	6/9/2017 9:14 AM

### Q24 Does the body that runs the scheme support the continuing professional development of the individuals registered to it?

Answered: 21 Skipped: 64




Answer Choices	Responses
Don't know	14.29% 3
No	38.10% 8
Yes	47.62% 10
<b>Total</b>	<b>21</b>

#	If yes, please specify:	Date
1	training courses	7/2/2017 8:22 PM
2	further improve skills and knowledge through practical application and will have an understanding of topics aside from their areas of expertise	6/28/2017 1:05 AM
3	led by Head of Profession	6/25/2017 11:25 AM
4	Organizes peer meetings on methodological topics + obligation to attend a number of hours of training each year.	6/24/2017 4:51 AM
5	EIANZ runs some professional development forums	6/23/2017 11:18 PM
6	EIANZ runs professional development events	6/11/2017 7:31 AM

### Q25 Are you aware of other ESIA project-related recognition schemes?

Answered: 26 Skipped: 59



Answer Choices	Responses
No	57.69% 15
Yes	42.31% 11
<b>Total</b>	<b>26</b>

#	If yes, please specify:	Date
	There are no responses.	

**Q26 Please enter your email contact details  
if you would be willing to provide further  
information about these additional schemes  
to the researchers.**

Answered: 18 Skipped: 67

#	Responses	Date
1	S.Hung@liverpool.ac.uk	7/7/2017 10:56 AM
2	rbabayev@liv.ac.uk	7/4/2017 11:50 AM
3	zhuyuan83@126.com	7/2/2017 8:23 PM
4	elsiecezar@yahoo.com	6/28/2017 1:06 AM
5	charlotta.faiht-ell@wspgroup.se	6/27/2017 7:11 AM
6	j-carstensen@dfid.gov.uk	6/25/2017 11:31 AM
7	cgronow@ashenv.com	6/23/2017 11:18 PM
8	akhalsa@acornintl.net	6/23/2017 8:58 AM
9	mesh_owho@yahoo.com	6/22/2017 3:06 AM
10	ritupaliwal.78@gmail.com	6/21/2017 12:51 AM
11	teamlabs@gmail.com	6/21/2017 12:02 AM
12	noimewalican@gmail.com	6/13/2017 9:21 AM
13	raymond.katebaka@gmail.com	6/12/2017 1:34 AM
14	lwilkinson@jbsg.com.au	6/11/2017 7:34 AM
15	terryc@icem.co.za	6/11/2017 6:09 AM
16	william.miles@aecom.com	6/11/2017 5:09 AM
17	jjiri.dusik@undp.org	6/9/2017 9:15 AM
18	aebelendu@ead.gov.ng	6/8/2017 11:47 AM


**Q27 If there is anyone you know in the IA community who you think the researchers should speak to in progressing this study into IA related professional recognition schemes, please provide a name and any contact details you have for that person below:**

Answered: 10 Skipped: 75

#	Responses	Date
1	Henry Leung, <a href="https://hk.linkedin.com/in/henry-leung-15a58b35">https://hk.linkedin.com/in/henry-leung-15a58b35</a>	7/7/2017 10:56 AM
2	no	7/2/2017 8:23 PM
3	Heikki Kalle who knows Estonia better than me. Heikki@hendrikson.ee. Lone Kornov at Aalborg University had two master students looking at the concept competent expert last year. I had a master student Elin Marmefelt who also studied the concept competent expert from a Swedish perspective last year. Her e-mail address is: elin.marmefelt@wspgroup.se.	6/27/2017 7:11 AM
4	richard.hill@uct.ac.za	6/15/2017 7:11 AM
5	Atty Michael Drake P Matias, eiamd_emb_central@yahoo.com, eia@emb.gov.ph	6/13/2017 9:21 AM
6	"sosomele@ira.udsm.ac.tz" <sosomele@ira.udsm.ac.tz>	6/12/2017 1:34 AM
7	You can talk to me (Lachlan Wilkinson) as i convene the panels for the impact assessment specialist certification	6/11/2017 7:34 AM
8	Sean O'Beirne sobeirne@tiscali.co.za	6/11/2017 6:09 AM
9	Lachlan Wilkinson for CEnvP and Orlando Venn for IEMA. Search for them on LinkedIn or email me.	6/11/2017 5:09 AM
10	Mr. Adegbite: mobile number +2348023110024	6/8/2017 11:47 AM

### Q28 How many years of experience do you have in Impact Assessment?


Answered: 63 Skipped: 22



Answer Choices	Responses	
None / I don't work in IA	1.59%	1
Less than 2 years	3.17%	2
2 to 5 years	12.70%	8
6 to 10 years	19.05%	12
11 to 20 years	25.40%	16
Over 20 years	38.10%	24
<b>Total</b>		<b>63</b>

**Q29 Please rate your satisfaction with the following methods for your ability to access up-to-date information on relevant developments in laws and good practice related to Impact Assessment.**


Answered: 63 Skipped: 22




	Very dissatisfied	(no label)	(no label)	(no label)	(no label)	Completely satisfied	N/A	Total	Weighted Average
Attending face-to-face training courses	0.00% 0	6.35% 4	7.94% 5	25.40% 16	31.75% 20	19.05% 12	9.52% 6	63	4.54
Attending face-to-face workshops	0.00% 0	9.68% 6	8.06% 5	27.42% 17	27.42% 17	19.35% 12	8.06% 5	62	4.42
Reading papers in IA-related journals	1.59% 1	6.35% 4	14.29% 9	22.22% 14	31.75% 20	17.46% 11	6.35% 4	63	4.37
Reading articles in IA publications	1.59% 1	4.76% 3	14.29% 9	22.22% 14	33.33% 21	17.46% 11	6.35% 4	63	4.42
Reading online articles related to IA	1.59% 1	1.59% 1	15.87% 10	26.98% 17	33.33% 21	17.46% 11	3.17% 2	63	4.46
Online IA content via social media (e.g., IA Group on LinkedIn, etc.)	4.84% 3	16.13% 10	20.97% 13	19.35% 12	24.19% 15	1.61% 1	12.90% 8	62	3.54
IA webinars / online video presentations / recordings	3.17% 2	15.87% 10	14.29% 9	22.22% 14	22.22% 14	4.76% 3	17.46% 11	63	3.71

### Q30 Please indicate in which country you are based:


Answered: 61 Skipped: 24



# EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey



# EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey




# EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey




# EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey



# EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey



# EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey




# EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey



# EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey



## EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey



Answer Choices	Responses
Afghanistan	0.00% 0
Albania	0.00% 0
Algeria	0.00% 0
Andorra	0.00% 0
Angola	0.00% 0
Antigua and Barbuda	0.00% 0
Argentina	0.00% 0
Armenia	0.00% 0
Australia	6.56% 4
Austria	0.00% 0
Azerbaijan	1.64% 1
Bahamas	0.00% 0
Bahrain	0.00% 0
Bangladesh	0.00% 0

## EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey

Barbados	0.00%	0
Belarus	0.00%	0
Belgium	1.64%	1
Belize	0.00%	0
Benin	0.00%	0
Bhutan	0.00%	0
Bolivia (Plurinational State of)	0.00%	0
Bosnia and Herzegovina	0.00%	0
Botswana	0.00%	0
Brazil	3.28%	2
Brunei Darussalam	1.64%	1
Bulgaria	0.00%	0
Burkina Faso	0.00%	0
Burundi	0.00%	0
Cabo Verde	0.00%	0
Cambodia	0.00%	0
Cameroon	0.00%	0
Canada	6.56%	4
Central African Republic	0.00%	0
Chad	0.00%	0
Chile	0.00%	0
China	1.64%	1
Colombia	0.00%	0
Comoros	0.00%	0
Congo	0.00%	0
Costa Rica	0.00%	0
Côte D'Ivoire	0.00%	0
Croatia	0.00%	0
Cuba	0.00%	0
Cyprus	0.00%	0
Czech Republic	1.64%	1
Democratic People's Republic of Korea	0.00%	0
Democratic Republic of the Congo	0.00%	0
Denmark	0.00%	0

## EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey

Djibouti	0.00%	0
Dominica	0.00%	0
Dominican Republic	0.00%	0
Ecuador	0.00%	0
Egypt	0.00%	0
El Salvador	0.00%	0
Equatorial Guinea	0.00%	0
Eritrea	0.00%	0
Estonia	0.00%	0
Ethiopia	0.00%	0
Fiji	0.00%	0
Finland	0.00%	0
France	0.00%	0
Gabon	0.00%	0
Gambia	0.00%	0
Georgia	0.00%	0
Germany	0.00%	0
Ghana	0.00%	0
Greece	0.00%	0
Grenada	0.00%	0
Guatemala	0.00%	0
Guinea	0.00%	0
Guinea Bissau	0.00%	0
Guyana	0.00%	0
Haiti	0.00%	0
Holy See	0.00%	0
Honduras	0.00%	0
Hungary	1.64%	1
Iceland	0.00%	0
India	4.92%	3
Indonesia	1.64%	1
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	0.00%	0
Iraq	0.00%	0
Ireland	0.00%	0

## EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey

Israel	0.00%	0
Italy	1.64%	1
Jamaica	0.00%	0
Japan	1.64%	1
Jordan	0.00%	0
Kazakhstan	0.00%	0
Kenya	0.00%	0
Kiribati	0.00%	0
Kuwait	0.00%	0
Kyrgyzstan	0.00%	0
Lao People's Democratic Republic	0.00%	0
Latvia	0.00%	0
Lebanon	0.00%	0
Lesotho	0.00%	0
Liberia	0.00%	0
Libya	0.00%	0
Liechtenstein	0.00%	0
Lithuania	0.00%	0
Luxembourg	0.00%	0
Madagascar	0.00%	0
Malawi	0.00%	0
Malaysia	0.00%	0
Maldives	0.00%	0
Mali	0.00%	0
Malta	0.00%	0
Marshall Islands	0.00%	0
Mauritania	0.00%	0
Mauritius	0.00%	0
Mexico	6.56%	4
Micronesia (Federated States of)	0.00%	0
Monaco	0.00%	0
Mongolia	1.64%	1
Montenegro	0.00%	0
Morocco	0.00%	0
Mozambique	0.00%	0

## EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey

Myanmar	0.00%	0
Namibia	0.00%	0
Nauru	0.00%	0
Nepal	1.64%	1
Netherlands	1.64%	1
New Zealand	0.00%	0
Nicaragua	0.00%	0
Niger	0.00%	0
Nigeria	4.92%	3
Norway	0.00%	0
Oman	0.00%	0
Pakistan	0.00%	0
Palau	0.00%	0
Panama	0.00%	0
Papua New Guinea	0.00%	0
Paraguay	0.00%	0
Peru	0.00%	0
Philippines	3.28%	2
Poland	0.00%	0
Portugal	4.92%	3
Qatar	1.64%	1
Republic of Korea	0.00%	0
Republic of Moldova	0.00%	0
Romania	0.00%	0
Russian Federation	0.00%	0
Rwanda	0.00%	0
Saint Kitts and Nevis	0.00%	0
Saint Lucia	0.00%	0
Saint Vincent and the Grenadines	0.00%	0
Samoa	0.00%	0
San Marino	0.00%	0
Sao Tome and Principe	0.00%	0
Saudi Arabia	0.00%	0
Senegal	0.00%	0
Serbia	0.00%	0

## EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey

Seychelles	0.00%	0
Sierra Leone	0.00%	0
Singapore	0.00%	0
Slovakia	0.00%	0
Slovenia	0.00%	0
Solomon Islands	0.00%	0
Somalia	0.00%	0
South Africa	6.56%	4
South Sudan	0.00%	0
Spain	0.00%	0
Sri Lanka	0.00%	0
State of Palestine	0.00%	0
Sudan	0.00%	0
Suriname	0.00%	0
Swaziland	0.00%	0
Sweden	3.28%	2
Switzerland	0.00%	0
Syrian Arab Republic	0.00%	0
Tajikistan	0.00%	0
Thailand	0.00%	0
The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	0.00%	0
Timor-Leste	0.00%	0
Togo	0.00%	0
Tonga	0.00%	0
Trinidad and Tobago	0.00%	0
Tunisia	1.64%	1
Turkey	0.00%	0
Turkmenistan	0.00%	0
Tuvalu	0.00%	0
Uganda	1.64%	1
Ukraine	0.00%	0
United Arab Emirates	0.00%	0
United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland	18.03%	11
United Republic of Tanzania	0.00%	0
United States of America	6.56%	4

## EIA & ESIA Professional Recognition Survey

Uruguay	0.00%	0
Uzbekistan	0.00%	0
Vanuatu	0.00%	0
Venezuela (Bolivarian Republic of)	0.00%	0
Vietnam	0.00%	0
Yemen	0.00%	0
Zambia	0.00%	0
Zimbabwe	0.00%	0
<b>Total</b>		<b>61</b>