

ROUND UP THE USUAL SUSPECTS: COMMON DEFICIENCIES IN US EIAS







Principal, GECo Environmental Consulting



April 2004



THE USUAL SUSPECTS: Project Description

Incomplete Project Description



Piecemealed (Segmented) Description



Inconsistent or Unstable Description





THE USUAL SUSPECTS: Affected Environment/Baseline/Setting

Incomplete Description of Setting



Erroneous Baseline (Spatial & Temporal)



 Use of Plan Buildout vs. Existing Conditions Baseline





THE USUAL SUSPECTS: Project Effects/Environmental Impacts

- Incomplete Impacts Analysis
- Omitted Impacts Analysis
- Inaccurate Impacts Analysis
- Skewed/Biased Impacts Analyses
- Conclusatory Impacts Analyses
- Deferred Impacts Analyses







THE USUAL SUSPECTS: Impacts (continued)

- Skewed of Biased Significance Criteria
- Impact Conclusions Don't Match Analyses
- Inadequate Consideration of Short-term Impacts
- Inadequate Consideration of Long-term Impacts (including sustainability)
- Inadequate Consideration of Cumulative Impacts









THE USUAL SUSPECTS: Mitigation



- Incomplete or Omitted Mitigation Measures
- Imprecise or Vague Mitigation Measures
- Measures Lacking Teeth or Commitment
- Unenforceable Mitigation Measures







THE USUAL SUSPECTS: Alternatives

- "Straw-man" Alternatives
- Alternatives that Don't Mitigate
- Inadequate Range of Alternatives
- Partial Alternatives (mitigation)
- Inappropriate No Project/No Action Alternative













THE USUAL SUSPECTS: Process & Procedure

- Inappropriate Use of Screening Documents to avoid Full EIA
- Inadequate Noticing (public & agencies)
- Inadequate Review Periods
- Inadequate Responses to Comments
- Substantial New Information added in Response to Comments



THE USUAL SUSPECTS: Stragglers

- EIA Data Dumps
- Incomprehensible Jargonistas
- Internal Inconsistencies



