



The Role of Environmental Assessment in Understanding and Managing Oil Sands Development in Northern Alberta

**Laury North, P.Eng.
Environmental Assessment Team Leader
Alberta Environment**



The Challenge of Oil Sands Development

- Oil reserves in the Athabasca oil sands deposits are world-scale in size and may account for 50% of Canada's production in the next decade.
- Capital investment in oil sands projects is expected to reach approximately \$60 billion.
- Recovery requires significant water and energy inputs and results in land disturbance and air emissions.
- Information is needed by industry and government to manage development effectively.
- The public wants assurance that the environment can and will be protected.
- ***Is environmental assessment a useful tool in understanding and managing the project-specific and cumulative/regional effects of oil sands development?***



Alberta's Environmental Assessment Process

- Every application under the *Environmental Protection and Enhancement Act* or the *Water Act* undergoes an environmental review.
- The scope and scale of the environmental review is determined by the nature of the activity, its environmental setting, and potential for significant adverse effects.
- **An Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Report is the most complex type of environmental review in Alberta Environment's regulatory framework.**
- Alberta Environment continues to review activities even after an approval is issued to ensure compliance with approval requirements.



Alberta's Environmental Assessment Process

- **Alberta Environment works closely with other agencies to examine environmental effects.**
- **When an environmental assessment is required by both the federal and provincial governments, both levels of government cooperate in assessing a project.**
- **Alberta uses a team-based process to review EIA reports.**
- **Review teams are usually media-based and composed of professional and technical specialists from various provincial and federal agencies.**
- **The information in the EIA report is used by regulatory and resource management decision-makers at both levels of government to make their own decisions.**



Alberta Environment's Regulatory Process

- **The EIA Report**
 - Provides information about the project and effects
 - Outlines the proponent's view of the significance of effects
 - Proposes mitigation for adverse effects and management plans for residual effects
- **The EUB Decision Process**
 - Provides opportunity to “test” proponent's view of the project
 - Identifies issues that require follow-up
 - Provides advice and direction to regulators
- **EPEA Approval**
 - Provides direction on emission management requirements
 - Identifies information proponent must provide before start-up
 - Specifies on-going monitoring and reporting requirements
- **Water Act Licence**
 - Sets rate of water withdrawal or diversion
 - Recognizes need to address IFN



Oil Sands Development 1993 to 2004

- **Only two oil sands mines operating in the Fort McMurray area between 1978 and 1995**
 - **Syncrude Canada Ltd.**
 - **Suncor Energy Ltd.**
- **13 major projects reviewed in the Fort McMurray area since 1995:**
 - **Syncrude (2) - Aurora Oil Sands Mine, Mildred Lake Upgrader expansion**
 - **Suncor (3) - Steepbank Oil Sands Mine, Millennium expansion, Firebag SAGD project**
 - **TrueNorth - Fort Hills Oil Sands Mine**
 - **Shell Canada (2) - Albion Oil Sands Mine, Jackpine Oil Sands Mine**
 - **Canadian Natural Resources Limited - Horizon Oil Sands Project**
 - **OPTI/Nexen - Long Lake SAGD project**
 - **Petro Canada (2) - MacKay SAGD project, Meadow Creek SAGD project**
 - **Conoco Philips - Surmont SAGD project**
- **2 new oil sands mines and 1 SAGD project at the “Terms of Reference” stage with more on the horizon.**



Issues Identified for Oil Sands Development

- **Air Quality**

- Major emissions are SO₂ and NO_x - concern about acid deposition.
- Concern about effects of SO₂ emissions has led to significant reductions in emissions from Syncrude's and Suncor's existing bitumen upgrading plants and from new approved projects.
 - Actual combined SO₂ emissions in 1995 - 440 tonnes per day (2 operating projects);
 - Estimated combined SO₂ emissions in 2004 - 303 tonnes per day (3 operating and 3 approved projects).
- NO_x emissions are increasing due to truck & shovel mining methods - low NO_x technology mandatory.
- Increasing interest in GHG emissions and potential effects of climate change on development.
- Proponents required to describe GHG emissions as well as project-specific and corporate management plans.
- Concern about secondary pollutants - ground-level ozone, PM_{2.5}.



Issues Identified for Oil Sands Development

- **Water Quality & Quantity**
 - No direct release of process-affected water to the watershed.
 - Seepage from tailings ponds needs to be monitored and managed to prevent impacts on surface water and groundwater resources as well as wetlands and aquatic biota.
 - Extraction and upgrading processes use large volumes of water from surface and ground sources. The potential for recycling is limited by corrosion concerns.
 - Protection of fresh groundwater resources is a concern during mine development and in-situ bitumen recovery.
 - Research focusing on seepage from tailings ponds and future reclaimed tailings areas, in-stream flow needs, reach-specific water quality objectives for the lower Athabasca River, and integrity of the Muskeg River watershed.



Issues Identified for Oil Sands Development

- **Traditional Land Use**

- Information on traditional uses and activities is a key component in the socio-economic and historical resources assessments.
- Need to understand how oil sands development may affect cultural and historical resources and the ability of Aboriginal people to hunt, fish and trap.
- Recognition that traditional knowledge from First Nation people can contribute to understanding the effects of development.
- Consultation with recognized First Nation and Metis people who may be affected a development is gaining an increasing level of scrutiny in Alberta.
- **Alberta is responding by developing comprehensive policy on Aboriginal consultation.**



Issues Identified for Oil Sands Development

- **Wildlife**

- Effects on wildlife a cornerstone of oil sands mine EIA reports.
- Key issues considered include:
 - Habitat fragmentation and loss,
 - Wildlife corridors,
 - Development setbacks from rivers,
 - Effects of noise and disturbance,
 - Identification of key indicator species and “listed” species,
 - Habitat suitability modeling.
- Caribou, moose, fur-bearing animals and listed species are of significance in EIA reports in terms of their value to traditional activities and the need to protect species at risk.



Issues Identified for Oil Sands Development

- **Human Health**
 - Human health assessment is a highly complex issue for oil sands EIA reports.
 - Assessment uses a multi-pathway approach that includes effects of water and air quality, as well as food.
 - Issues of public perception are the most difficult to assess.
 - Efforts to address regional human health questions identified in EIA reports resulted in the Athabasca Oil Sands Community Health Effects Assessment Program (AOSCHEAP).
 - The AOSCHEAP study was completed in May 2000.
 - It was the first of several studies by Alberta Health & Wellness across Alberta to address uncertainty related to exposure and human health outcomes in the oil sands region.
 - It provides a baseline of information on health and exposure referenced in subsequent and current EIA reports in the region.



Issues Identified for Oil Sands Development

- **Reclamation**

- Reclamation is a key component of EIA reports and an active research area.
- Successful reclamation of disturbed areas will be key to ecosystem sustainability.
- Focus is on:
 - Reclaiming disturbances to a dry landscape through consolidated tailings technology,
 - Reclaiming the landscape and drainage to support ecologically functioning end-pit lakes, wetlands and forested ecosystems,
 - Integration of water management plans and reclamation plans during project development, operation and reclamation.
- The scale of oil sands mines means large volumes of overburden and water must be managed during the life of a project.
- Integration of multiple projects in close proximity is also a key issue.



Issues Identified for Oil Sands Development

- **Cumulative Effects**

- Alberta's approach to cumulative effects assessment has changed over time. It is now a major component of every EIA report.
- Managing cumulative effects requires a partnership of all stakeholders in the region.
- *Regional Sustainable Development Strategy for the Athabasca Oil Sands Area (RSDS)*
 - Released by Alberta Environment in 1999 after a comprehensive consultation process,
 - Organized 72 issues into 14 theme areas,
 - Developed a rational, systematic approach to address issues,
 - Emphasizes the application of adaptive management principles,
 - Strong support for partnerships and cooperation,
 - Provides a balance between development and environmental protection.



Issues Identified for Oil Sands Development

- **Cumulative Effects - *continued***
 - **Cumulative Effects Management Association (CEMA)**
 - CEMA founded in 2000 to bring stakeholders together to address key cumulative effects issues,
 - Currently has over 40 member organizations representing industry, all levels of government, Aboriginal groups and interest groups,
 - Five working groups developing regional environmental management systems to address the issues identified in the RSDS,
 - CEMA's recommendations will be provided to Alberta Environment and Alberta Sustainable Resource Development for consideration and possible implementation,
 - In August 2002 CEMA provided Alberta with recommendations for managing trace metal emissions,
 - In July 2003 CEMA industry members voluntarily agreed to adopt three management tools to minimize land disturbance,
 - CEMA has completed over 25 technical reports with over 20 other reports in progress on a broad range of issues,
 - Many recent EIA reports reference CEMA's work as a means to address cumulative effects issues.



Conclusions

- The understanding and management of effects from oil sands development is greatly enhanced by the environmental assessment process.
- Regulators use the process to focus efforts on key environmental issues and develop proactive mitigation proposals.
- Environmental assessment information assists Alberta in presenting its position to the EUB and assists the EUB in determining if a project is in the public interest.
- Information is used in setting terms and conditions in approvals and licences.
- The process provides a transparent means to identify key research and monitoring gaps.
- It can help to differentiate between issues of perception and scientific uncertainty.
- **Environmental assessment provides a basis for long-term monitoring and management plans.**