The Surrey Headwaters Project A Case Study In Sustainable and Affordable Infrastructure

Professor Patrick M. Condon

**UBC** James Taylor Chair in Landscape and Liveable Environments

Web Site: www.sustainable-communities.agsci.ubc.ca

The Headwaters Project Design for Community for 13,000 A Partnership Between City of Surrey and James Taylor Chair Broad Range of Funding Partners Involved all Stakeholders in a four day Implementation Design Charrette Adheres to the Six Principles For Sustainable Communities

East Clayton Plan 13,000 Residents 550 Acres 10 Dwelling Units per Acre

Interconnected Street System

Complete Community

40% fewer car trips

90% less downstream impact



### Principle 1: Different dwelling types in the same area.



Result: An unprecedented variety of housing types in the same area.

### Principle 2: Five Minute Walking Distance to Transit and Shops.



Result: Five Minute Walk to Shops. Serviceable by Transit with Stops Five Minute Walk from all Homes.

### Principle 3: Buildings that Present a Friendly Face to the Street

The Main Street mixed-use commercial/ residential area is proposed at the corner of 72<sup>e4</sup> Avenue and 188<sup>o</sup> Street. Mixed-use commercial buildings have a maximum height of four storreys, and a lot coverage of up to 80 percent to ensure a near continuous street fruntage. Large surface parking lots are not permitted. Short term parking for commercial tennants and patrors will be provided in on street angled parking areas, in underground structures, or in parking areas accessed via the rear lane.



Image of a mixed-use commercial/ residential street. The streetscape is comprised of similarly scaled buildings, street trees, covered sidewalks, and onstreet parking.



This plan veix shows a variety of lot dimensions and heusing types including semi-detached single-family duplexes and fee-simple row heuses. Front setbacks are between 4 and 5 metres (13 to 165 ft.) for single-family and semi-detached lots and 3 metres (10 ft.) for rowhoase and townhouse units. Parking is provided via laneaccessed garages and on the street.



Row houses are designed to reinforce the character of single-family areas and contribute to a unified streetscape.

Dominant characteristics:

- + ground-oriented units;
- clearly identified front entry and yard that relates to the street;
- extended porches and recessed entries;
- massing and detailing that relates to the surrounding single family
- context;
   massing based on simple, regular shapes with strong, gabled roof
- forms; and + garages are not a part of streetscape
- garages are not a part or streetscape but, rather are accessed via rear lanes.



Result: Commercial and residential buildings oriented to people, not cars.

### Principle 4: An Interconnected Street System



Result: Reduction in Car Dependence of up to 40%

### Principle 5: Lighter Greener Cheaper Smarter Infrastructure



Result: Reductions in Per Dwelling Unit Infrastructure Costs of \$12,000

### Principle 6: Natural Drainage Systems Where Surface Runoff Infiltrates Naturally Back to the Stream.



Result: 90% Reduction in Impact to Receiving Streams

### The way water works in our landscape



Urbanization radically alters the way the watershed works

### The problem is not quality The problem is quantity



The natural condition



The gray infrastructure condition



The green infrastructure condition

### **Typical Local Street Section**



## **Typical Riparian Parkway Street Section**



## Comparing two Surrey sites, both 10 acres. Average Home size (interior sq.ft.) equal





East Clayton Site

### How many homes?





Status Quo 41 Single Family Homes Sustainable OptionSingle Family37Duplex Units54S. F. with Suite10Total Units111

### How much impervious surface?



Status Quo TIA 50% EIA 50% Sustainable Option TIA 50.3% EIA 10%

### How do they compare?

			EAST OF AVTON SITE	
Site Area	hectares	4.27	4.23	
	acres	10.50	10.45	
Total Parcels		41	74	
Total Dw elling Units 1		41	111	
Parking	stalls per unit			
	total stalls	82	222	
Gross Density	d.u./ha.	9.00	20.24	
	d.u./acre	3.90	10.62	
Lot Coverage	net (lots only)	0.40	0.45	
Floor Area Ratio 2	net (lots only)	0.30	0.55	
	gross (lots & ROWs)	0.20	0.29	
Average Unit Size <sup>3</sup>	sq.m.	214.00	155.00	
	s.f.	2300.00	1661.00	
Average Utility Run	m/d.u.	13.80	8.50	
	f./d.u.	45.30	27.88	
Pavement	sq.m/d.u.	229.00	83.45	
	s.f./d.u.	2400.70	007.00	
Site Permeability (%) <sup>4</sup>		50.00	49.65	

## How do they compare?

INFRASTRUCTURE COST				
Roadworks		\$218,894	\$256,853	
	Asphalt Paving	\$24,553	\$38,247	
Storm Sew er		\$205,820	n/a	
Surface Drainage Sw ale Pipe 9		n/a	\$80,000	
Boulevard Landscaping		\$30,000	\$36,070	
Water Mains		\$113,705	\$169,107	
Water Tie-ins and Connections *		\$18,177	\$49,211	
Sanitary Sew ers		\$135,255	\$229,780	
Sanitary Tie-ins and Connections *		\$5,000	\$13,536	
Street Lighting		\$44,000	\$64,500	
Lot Grading and/or Sw ales		\$24,450	\$24,221	
Hydro/ Telephone installlation (buried services) *		\$54,000	\$146,196	
Boulevard Tree Planting		\$20,000	\$24,052	
Utilities *		\$54,000	\$89,859	
Block interior pathways and emergency access		\$12,500	n/a	
Block interior pathways landscaping		ψ+,000	170	
Total Infrastructure Cost	entire site	\$964,354	\$1,221,632	
	per unit	\$23,521	\$11,005.69	
per parcel 10		\$23,521	\$16,509	

### How do they compare?

LAND COST 5				
per unit 6	\$76,829	\$28,243		
per parcel 7	\$76,829	\$42,365		
BUILDING COST 8				
per average sized unit (2300 sq. ft./1661 sq. ft.)	\$138,000	\$99,660		
per equal sized structure 2,000 sq. ft.	\$120.000	\$120.000		

### What's the Bottom Line?

TOTAL COST OF AN AVERAGE SIZED UNIT (Land+Building+Infrastru					
Average unit sizes (2300 sq. ft.vs. 1661 sq.ft)	\$238,350	\$138,909			
Total Cost per Square Foot of interior space (Land+Building+Infra tracture)					
Average unit sizes (2300 sq. ft.vs. 1661 sq.ft)	\$104	\$84			
TOTAL COST OF EQUAL SIZED STRUCTURE (Land+Building+Infrastr cture)					
Equal sized structures of 2000 sq. ft.	\$220,350	\$178,873			

### Will Infiltration Work in our Glaciated Soils?



## Amble Greene, Surrey BC



Project Description	Amble	Greene
DEVELOPMENT		
Location	South Surrey	
Development Type	residential	
No. of Units	153	
Developer	Shell Capada	
Sim	0.04	CTRR
Date of Construction	10	70
Bate of Construction	15	10
Street Pattern	aunilinear	
Tunical DOW width	20 m	eters
I ypical ROW width	2011	erero
Dattern	ouni	ipeer
STOPMWATE	curvilinear	
Tame of System Constructs	infiltration/ exfitation/ storage	
Ann somio	0.0 Acros	
L and of SW2	9.9 Acres	
Level of Swi	2° in 24 hour	
Large Storm Situatio	3 in 24 hour	
System Lengt	934 meters	
Site Condition	ho	
Site Condition		
BIOPHYSICAL	5	at a d
Settlement Patterns	Forested	
Post Development Conditions	residential	
HYDROLOGICAL		
Average Rain Event	<1/2 inch in 24 hr (See figure 4-3)	
GEOIECHNICAL		
Soils Profile - surface	0.1- 0.5 metres	
sub soils	to 2.0 metres (gravely sandy)	
Site Grades	undulating	
Water Table Elevation	low	
Soil Infiltration Capacity	180mm/hour	
Evaluation	4070	0000
PROJECT COSTS	1979	2000
Stormwater Installation Costs	\$65,615	\$140,100
cost per ha	\$18,226	\$35,025
cost per acre	\$7,372	\$14,152
cost per linear metre	\$70 \$150	
cost per linear foot	\$21 \$45	
MAINTENANCE COSTS		
Yearly Expenses	n/a	
SWS Expected Lifespan	10-20 years	

### Why does that matter?

To maintain stream health and prevent flooding of agricultural lands.

Surrey forced to pay farmers 60 million in compensation for storm water produced flooding.

Millions more for dike system.

Taxpayers and homebuyers forced to foot the bill.



## How much infrastructure to install, replace and maintain?



Status Quo \$23,521 per dwelling unit Sustainable Option \$11,006 per dwelling unit

### So how much would a home cost?

Costs included are land, construction, and on site servicing (hard) costs only. Permitting fees, DCCs, Developer profit and carrying costs not included.



\$104 / interior sq ft \$238,300 for 2,300 sq ft average unit. \$84 / interior sq ft.
\$138,350 for 1,660 interior sq ft
average unit.

### What about the tax base? Ratio of home value to replacement cost for infrastructure



#### 238,300 to 23,521 or 10 to 1

### 138,350 to 11,000 or 12 to 1

## Are wide streets safer for our kids?

**Denver study** showed pedestrian fatalities four times more likely on wide "status quo" streets than on old fashioned narrow streets with lane access.





## **Regional Impacts on Infrastructure?**

### Using climate change gas as a measure.

## TECHNICAL BULLETIN

JAMES TAYLOR CHAIR IN LANDSCAPE & LIVEABLE ENVIRONMENTS January 2001

The Headwater's Project – The East Clayton Neighbourhood Concept Plan Environmental Benefits

. Introduction

The *East Clayton NCP* is guided by the following seven principles:

No. 1 Increase density and conserve a energy by designing compact walkable til

Measuring the benefits of alternative community design patterns has become an important means for understanding the influence of established land use and community design standards and practices on community and regional sustainability. In this study we provide an overview of the Headwaters Project a demonstration of sustainable development principles and perfor-

### **Regional Impacts on Infrastructure?**

#### Using climate change gas as a measure.

#### Long Term Benefit

Table 8-2 - The long term air quality benefit (GHG emissions) of the proposed East Clayton pattern over conventional suburban-type pattern would be at least 45% if the East Clayton pattern of development were replicated over a 30 year time frame.



### Using climate change gas as a measure.

Air Ovelity Comparison	Sconar	io 1 2010	Scopar	io 2 2040
Air Quality Comparison	East Clayton	Suburban Type <sup>1</sup>	East Clayton	Suburban Tuna <sup>1</sup>
Neighbourhood Attributes	inner auburb 2	inner suburb <sup>2</sup>	Last Clayton	Suburban Type
Lond Area	Inner suburb -	anner suburb	Inner area -	Inner area
Land Area	250 ha	250 na	250 ha	250 ha
Road Layout Type	primarily grid	20km	primarily grid	random curvilinear
Total length of non-expressw ay roads	26km	2001	26km	20km
I otal number of intersections	112	60	112	60
Total length of wide arterials (4 lane)	2.5km	5	2.5km	5
Daily Bus Vehicle Service Hours	14.6	5	16.2	14.6
Total Length of bike routes	7km	0	7km	0
Socio-Demographic Data	East Clayton	Suburban-type	East Clayton	Suburban-type
Total number of residential units	4928	2250	4928	2250
Total Residential Density (units/ha)	20	9	20	9
Housing Mix (1=total mix; 0=no mix)	0.74	0	0.74	0
Number of grocery stores (1-km radius)	8	0	8	0
Number of jobs (1-km radius)	4464	0	4464	0
Number of jobs (5-km radius)	40000	40000	120000	120000
Locational Characteristics	East Clavton	Suburban-type	East Clavton	Suburban-type
Distance to CBD	12km	12km	3km	3km
No.of Jobs (5-km radius)				
Distance to nearest rapid transit station (rapid bus)	1km	1km	1km	1km
Distance to nearest commuter rail (SkyTrain)	8.7 km	8.7km	3km	3km
Weekday Household Travel Behaviour	East Clavton	Suburban-type	East Clavton	Suburban-type
Average Vehicles Ow ned/Household	1.17	1.65	1.13	1.6
Average VKT generated/household:	51.6	78.8	38	72.8
Average PKT generated/household:	16.2	19.7	13	19.8
Annual Household Vehicle Emissions	East Clayton	Suburban-type	East Clayton	Suburban-type
Auto	5900	9000	4400	8300
Transit	220	270	180	270
Total Household Vehicle Emissions	6120	9270	4580	8570

Emissions in KG per household

### **Regional Impacts**





115,000 people @ 4 d.u./ acre
82,800 cars
Transit trip share 4%
9,100 KG CO<sup>2</sup>/Capita
Streams biologically dead

### **Regional Impacts**



UBC James Taylor Chair in Landscape and Liveable Environments



253,000 people @ 10 d.u./ acre 138,000 cars Transit trip share 20% or more 6,300 KG CO<sup>2</sup>/Capita (40% less) Stream hydrology maintained New streams created.

## If its this easy, why isn't everybody doing it?

Risk !

Now let me get this straight son. You want me to risk my job as chief engineer to save a few fishies?

James Taylor Chair in Landscape and Liveable Environments.

# Thank you!

## Questions and Answers

10 - 10 B - 10 PM