Compendium of National Professional Recognition Schemes: Individual EIA Practitioners

Country: **Uganda**

Individual EIA Practitioner recognition is ☒ Present in this country ☐ Absent from this country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Basis</th>
<th>LEGAL REQUIREMENT</th>
<th>VOLUNTARY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Operator</td>
<td>National Environmental Management Authority</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weblink/s</td>
<td><a href="http://nema.go.ug/services/eias">http://nema.go.ug/services/eias</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description: (Incl. if scheme is specific to a region) The Committee of Environmental Practitioners of Uganda certifies practitioners under powers set out in National Environment (Conduct and Certification of Environmental Practitioners) Regulations, 2003.

### SCHEME REQUIREMENTS

**Competency Criteria:**
- ☐ EIA Experience
- ☐ Wider IA Experience
- ☐ Sector Experience
- ☐ EIA Knowledge
- ☐ EIA Skills
- ☐ EIA Behaviours
- ☐ Academic Qualifications
- ☐ Pre-qualification Exam
- ☐ Pre-qualification Training
- ☐ Other:

### APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS

**Application Contents**
- ☐ Fee Payment
- ☐ Application Form
- ☐ References
- ☐ EIA Competence Report
- ☐ Copy of Qualifications
- ☐ Signed Verification
- ☐ CV
- ☐ Copy of EIS content
- ☐ Proof of ID
- ☐ Other:

### OPERATIONAL REQUIREMENTS

**Validity Period**
- ☐ Lifetime
- ☐ So long as individual pays annual renewal fee
- ☒ Time limited, renewal required every 1 Year
  - Years

**On-going Registration Requirements**
- ☐ Sign a Code of Practice
- ☐ Act as a Mentor
- ☐ Annual CPD Return
- ☐ Share Knowledge (e.g. Case Studies)
- ☐ Other:

Additional Comments: Confirmation of scheme requirements needed as the survey response filled all possible answer options.

**Source of Evidence:**
- ☒ Survey Response
- ☐ Online Research
- ☐ In-Country Expert
- ☐ IAIA Member

**About this Data Sheet:**

Original Compiler/s: Josh Fothergill & Ross Marshall

Date of Original: 31 October 2017

Last Updated: 31 October 2017

Disclaimer: IAIA takes no position on the value of impact assessment accreditation processes, as a whole, nor does it endorse this specific program.